

Application No. 10/623,402
In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of September 21, 2005
Attorney Docket No. CS-7890

REMARKS

This Amendment cancels claim 10 and adds the limitations therein to independent claim 1. Claims 1-9 and 11-16 remain in this application.


Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 and 11-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for not providing enablement for the safening of herbicides in general. However, the Examiner states that claim 10 (directed to specific classes of herbicides) would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. As set forth above, Applicant has canceled allowable claim 10 and has added the subject matter therefrom into independent claim 1. Therefore, claim 1, as amended, is believed to be in condition for allowance. Claims 2-9 and 11-16 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 1.

Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 1, and claims 2-9 and 11-16 that depend therefrom, is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Raymond J. Harmuth
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 33,896

Bayer CropScience LP
100 Bayer Road
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15205-9741
(412) 777-3916
FACSIMILE PHONE NUMBER:
(412) 777-3902
s/rmc/rjh/7890Webb